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Can Alternative Models for P3 Transit Delivery be Successful?
Status: HRTP Capital Program Development

City Center Guideway & Stations; Pearl Highlands Garage & Transit Center

- Pearl Highlands
- Pearl Harbor Naval Base
- Leeward Community College
- H2 Access Ramp
- Waipahu TC
- UH-West Oahu
- West Loch
- Ewa Beach
- Ho'opili
- UH-Manoa
- City Center Section
  4.1 miles / 6.6 km
- West Oahu-Farrington Highway Section
  6.9 miles / 11.1 km
- Airport Section
  5.1 miles / 8.2 km
- Airport
- Lagoon Drive
- Kalihi
- Kapalama
- Iwilei
- Chinatown
- Downtown Civic Center
- Kakaako
- Ala Moana Center
- Waikiki
Comprehensive Project Delivery Assessment

- Conducted commercial feasibility evaluation
- Reviewed comparable projects and relevant case studies
- Designed and assessed alternative P3 structures specific to HRTP status
- Conducted comprehensive risk assessment with staff and outside experts
- Created new concept: DBF/OM (Design-Build-Finance/Operate-Maintain)
- Developed and distributed RFQ
- Received qualifications submittals from P3 proposers on April 12, 2019
- Initiated review of proposer qualifications/capabilities
Objectives of Project Delivery Assessment

To define a project delivery structure that...

- Reduces the possibility of cost increases through enhanced fiscal discipline
- Promotes schedule certainty by financially based performance requirements
- Provides a “life-cycle” approach linking construction with operations
- Improves risk management by optimally allocating risks and responsibilities
- Encourages robust global competition by bundling construction and O&M
- Promotes incorporation of technical innovation and best practices
- Integrates the mutual goals of HART and the City for improved mobility and long-term sustainability
Increases Fiscal Discipline and Reduces Cost Increases

- DBF/OM reduces the potential for cost increase and/or change orders
- DBF/OM places financial incentives and performance requirements on the P3 partner to meet pre-established budget, scope, and schedule
- DBF/OM is projected to provide modest cost reductions when compared conservatively to DB over the 30-year term, primarily due to more competitive pricing and increased efficiency
  - Projected City savings of over $310M in operating costs over the 30-year term, primarily forecast for the years 2031-2050 subsequent to termination of existing CSC
  - Projected HART savings of $46M in construction costs for CCGS and PHGTC
Promotes Schedule Certainty

- DBF/OM confirms adherence to the schedule and provides substantial contractual requirements and associated financial penalties to the developer if delays occur
  - The P3 development agreement will include fundamental schedule incentives including private financing and robust performance metrics for O&M
- DBF/OM is projected to result in a slightly earlier Revenue Service Date
  - Schedule acceleration is due to construction innovation and efficiency and parallel testing and commissioning, enhanced by financial incentives for early completion
  - These schedule accelerators compensate for a longer procurement period for P3
Provides a “Life-Cycle” Approach

- DBF/OM recognizes that major infrastructure endeavors are long-term community assets and should be constructed, operated, and maintained accordingly.

- DBF/OM combines construction and long-term O&M into a joint procurement by HART and the City, which creates a full life-cycle approach by linking design, construction, operations, and maintenance.
Optimizes Risk Management

- DBF/OM allocates risk to the parties best equipped to accept the respective risks and responsibilities
- DBF/OM procurement increases likelihood of attracting bidders who have world-class experience and the capabilities to accept allocation of risks
- DBF/OM transfers key construction and O&M interface risks from HART and the City to P3 partner
  - Reduces level of HART-required staffing for management & construction oversight
  - Eliminates the requirement for both HART and the City to serve as the integrator and interface manager for numerous contracts and transfers that risk to the P3 partner
Encourages Competition

- DBF/OM encourages increased and robust competition from among US-based and international contractors with positive performance records in developing and operating major transit and infrastructure projects, many of whom are unlikely to propose for only a design-build program.
DBF/OM promotes incorporation by the developer of technical innovation and best practices by optimizing the developer’s opportunities to connect design and construction with long-term O&M.
Integrates HART and City Goals

- DBF/OM integrates the mutual goals of HART and the City to build, operate, and maintain one of the most significant sustainable infrastructure assets undertaken on behalf of the citizens and visitors to Hawaii.
Funding and Financing Overview

- HART’s principal sources of capital funding are from GET, TAT, and the federal FFGA
  - HART has received approximately $3.2B total from inception through June 30, 2018
- Approximately $6.1B is anticipated in local and FFGA revenues from July 1, 2018 to 2030, which covers all remaining construction, contingency, and financing costs
- About $1.9B is available for the capital components of the P3 agreement after reserving for non-P3 project costs, debt service/financing charges, and required contingency (this number is referred to as the “affordability ceiling”)
- The City will fund O&M of systems and rolling stock and non-systems elements of the project including facilities and stations
DBF/OM Attributes

- The P3 procurement will be a joint procurement between HART and the City
- To assure maximum transparency, bidders will be required to provide separate pricing for capital construction and financing funded by HART and O&M funded by the City
- The concession term will be 30 years: 2020-2050
- The P3 structure is based on a design-build-finance (DBF) model for the civil works combined with a 30-year O&M agreement, linked through a single procurement designed to obtain strong global competition, favorable pricing, cost and schedule certainty, and optimal risk transfer
### Major Components of the Project Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components Remaining Under HART</th>
<th>Key P3 Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ongoing and completed capital construction, including guideway, stations, and systems installation for West Side and Airport Guideway and Stations (AGS)</td>
<td>• Civil and systems design and construction for the City Center Guideway and Stations (CCGS) and Pearl Highlands Garage and Transit Center (PHGTC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rolling stock acquisition, testing and commissioning</td>
<td>• Full systems integration and long-term O&amp;M of all system elements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ongoing and Completed Construction Including Guideway, Stations, and Systems

- Completion of AGS, finalization of West Side contracts and related systems installation, and rolling stock acquisition, testing and commissioning will not be included in the P3 partner contract.
- Funding and financing by HART will continue as at present.
- The current civil and systems contractors will continue accordingly.
- Under its current contract, the Core Systems Contractor is expected to complete installation of AGS segment systems in mid-2022 and complete its testing and commissioning for this segment in 2023.
Civil and Systems Construction for City Center and Pearl Highlands Facilities

- CCGS design, construction, and systems work will be the civil construction element of the P3 partner’s contract
- This work will be funded through GET, TAT, and FFGA
- CCGS construction will occur between 2020 and 2025
- Revenue Service Date expected by December 2025 or earlier
Civil and Systems Construction for City Center and Pearl Highlands Facilities

- The P3 partner will:
  - Undertake design and construction of CCGS and PHGTC
  - Finance construction works privately
  - Receive milestone payments during construction between 2020-2025
  - Receive capital availability payments post-construction between 2026-2030

- 2026-2030 is a five year “tail” where HART retains fiscal control to assure satisfactory performance

- CCGS systems installation being performed by Ansaldo Honolulu Joint Venture (AHJV) will likely become part of the P3 partner’s scope
  - Transfers interface risk and likely accelerates completion
Operations and Maintenance

- Core Systems Contract (AHJV) scope includes interim and full operations
- Since the project schedule has changed, the AHJV contract will need to be renegotiated to reflect the current schedule
- HART and the City will jointly negotiate a “term sheet” with AHJV
  - Will incorporate all relevant scope and contractual conditions
- The new O&M aspects of the Core Systems Contract constituting the term sheet will be transferred to the P3 partner
- AHJV will perform its O&M responsibilities under the redefined contract as a subcontractor to (or member of) the P3 partner’s consortium
Operations and Maintenance

- The City will provide availability payments to the P3 partner for the initial O&M period (assumed to be 10 years) inclusive of AHJV’s participation.
- This approach will provide cost certainty to the City over the initial years of system operation through rigorous performance requirements.
- When the interim O&M term undertaken by AHJV under the P3 partner concludes, the P3 partner can:
  - Negotiate with AHJV to continue serving as the system operator for all or part of the remainder of the development agreement term.
  - Change its organization to facilitate increased O&M efficiency beyond 2030.
Responsibility/Risk Allocation

- The DBF/OM structure will transfer certain risks and responsibilities to the P3 partner from the City and HART
- A comparison was made between DBF/OM and Design-Build to examine:
  - How these responsibilities and risks would be allocated
  - How this would affect cost and schedule
A Risk Assessment Workshop was conducted June 19–21, 2018 with representatives from HART, the City, and financial and project delivery experts.

Purposes of the workshop:
- Define and quantify risks, with focus on risks that differed between the delivery methods.
- Assess which delivery method would provide greater cost and schedule certainty.

“Base” costs were defined as starting point to reflect the longer procurement period and additional advisory fees for DBF/OM:
- DB Base Cost: $1.61B
- DBF/OM Base Cost: $1.64B
Key Risk Differentiators for DB and DBF/OM

- Procurement
- Right-of-Way
- Design and construction
- Administrative oversight
- Competition and Pricing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of Risk Differentiators for Design-Build (DB) and Design-Build-Financed-Operate-Maintain (DBF/OM or P3)</th>
<th>Key Risk Differentiators Design-Build Procurement</th>
<th>Design-Build-Finance-Operate-Maintain (DBF/OM or P3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>Risk of joint procurement with City resulting in schedule delay to P3 procurement</td>
<td>The base schedule for P3 incorporates the risk of delay owing to the requirement for concurrence between HAART and the City regarding key decisions that affect procurement of both construction and operations of the project. This risk considers additional delay beyond the base schedule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>Risk of delay in notice-to-proceed due to potential bid protest</td>
<td>With DB and P3 delivery there is a risk of bid protest to unsatisfactory bidder(s), but the likelihood of cost and schedule impacts is greater with a P3 delivery because there is much more at stake for the proposing firms. This risk is based on previous experience. There is consideration of a schedule impact for P3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of Risk Differentiators for Design-Build (DB) and Design-Build-Financed-Operate-Maintain (DBF/OM or P3)</th>
<th>Key Risk Differentiators Design-Build Procurement</th>
<th>Design-Build-Finance-Operate-Maintain (DBF/OM or P3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>Risk of late delivery of ROW necessary for on-time completion of CCGS</td>
<td>Risk of not having necessary ROW acquisitions in time for expensing of CCGS construction and systems installation. During the P3 procurement, it will be necessary for the City to add an additional to the RP, prior to contract signing, to report on fed infeasibility of obtaining ROW acquisition necessary for the P3 or DB to rely on for CCGS project construction. The costs recorded represent a financing charge of $1.06 per month of delay. This cost represents the additional financing costs a P3 developer would experience above and beyond a design-build procurement project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCGS Design &amp; Construction</td>
<td>Opportunity for P3 to present a cost reduction for construction of CCGS</td>
<td>Opportunity for P3 to present a cost reduction for construction of CCGS. The design would also be completed in a year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Risk Differentiators for DB and DBF/OM

- **Procurement**
  - Risk of joint procurement with City resulting in schedule delay to P3 procurement
  - Risk of delay in notice-to-proceed due to potential bid protest

- **Right of Way**
  - Risk of late delivery of ROW necessary for on-time completion of CCGS
  - Potential for a delay to incur higher financing costs under P3

- **Design and construction**
  - Opportunity for P3 to present a cost and schedule reduction for construction of CCGS
  - Opportunity for P3 to present a cost and schedule reduction for construction of PHGTC
  - Opportunity for DB and P3 to present a schedule reduction during commissioning and testing of the overall rail system
Key Risk Differentiators for DB and DBF/OM

- Administrative oversight
  - Opportunity to lower HART’s costs for design and construction oversight

- Market opportunities: Competition and Pricing
  - Marketplace opportunity to increase number of qualified competing contractors for CCGS and PHGTC under DBF/OM
Incorporating the risk assessment and modeling, risk-adjusted capital costs of DB and DBF/OM are:
- DB: $1.627B
- DBF/OM: $1.581B

$46M potential cost saving with DBF/OM
Risk-Adjusted capital costs reflect the potential to offset the higher base cost of DBF/OM through:

- Opportunity for modest cost and schedule reduction for construction of CCGS and PHGTC
- Opportunity to reduce total cost to HART for program management oversight
O&M Costs for DB and DBF/OM

- With DBF/OM, Systems-related O&M will be under the P3 Partner, beginning 2020 and continuing to 2050
- Anticipated cost savings with DBF/OM were assumed to accrue for Systems-related O&M over the 2031-2050 period
- O&M cost for DBF/OM is projected to be $309.67M less than DB
Summary

DBF/OM will improve cost certainty and fiscal discipline as compared to DB, with cost savings over the 30-year concession period that conservatively exceed $350M.

DBF/OM will enhance schedule certainty, with Revenue Service Date projected to occur earlier than under DB delivery.

DBF/OM will facilitate risk transfer from HART and the City to an experienced and capable P3 partner who will manage the interfaces between design, construction, and O&M over the life-cycle of the project.

DBF/OM will encourage robust global competition, which in turn will promote competitive pricing for both construction and O&M.

DBF/OM will use private financing for civil construction, reducing the need to issue public debt and creating fiscal incentives for on-time and on-budget performance.
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